


Brighton Place roadworks consultation

Draft results




Draft results


All responses	409		
	Setts	Asphalt	Asphalt overlay
Strongly Support	36.2%	41.3%	5.1%
Support	12.2%	16.1%	6.6%
Support	48%	57%	12%
Neutral	10.0%	6.4%	7.3%
Against	13.7%	11.0%	17.6%
Strongly Against	27.9%	25.2%	63.3%
Against	42%	36%	81%

Brighton Place Resurfacing

■ Strongly Support
 ■ Neutral
 ■ Against
■ Support
 ■ Strongly Against



Distribution of responses



Other


PCC

Nearby

Brighton P

**80% within
PCC area**

Responses over time - support



Common themes

Support or Strongly Support Setts option

- It is current Council policy
- Conservation is important and it is a Conservation Area
- Strong part of Portobello's Heritage and identity
- Removing setts wouldn't be considered in the New Town or Stockbridge
- Why should Council ignore requirements of Conservation Area when householders have to abide by them?
- Question vibration claims
- Setts were not laid competently last time
- If well laid, should last
- Cost and disruption are worth it
- Should be quiet, low vibration
- Act as natural traffic calming

Suggestions:-

- Regulate vehicle speed with further traffic calming measures
- Lay on concrete foundation
- Install all services before laying setts
- Improve drainage
- Establish a wider, shared-use pavement to cater for cyclists
- Parking should be restricted on Brighton Place

Quite a few who support the setts say asphalt is the best option

Common themes

Against or Strongly Against Setts option

- Setts 'not fit for purpose' for a modern city street
- Slippery and dangerous for cyclists, pedestrians & wheelchair users
- Vibration is damaging homes of residents
- Noise problem
- Drainage problems
- Setts won't last or be good value for money
- Disruption
- Cost to public purse of setts too high
- Impact on High Street
- Impossible to avoid sub-contractors digging up the road in future
- Streets elsewhere in the city which have recently been relaid have quickly deteriorated, e.g. Leith Shore
- All attempts at sett reconstruction going back 40+ years have been expensive failures

Suggestions:-

- Decorative strip to act as a reminder
- Relay the setts on side streets
- Deploy Parking restrictions
- Coloured chips
- Traffic calming to slow vehicles
- Strip of tarmac for bikes


It's a shame to lose the setts, but asphalt is...

- Safer
- Better value for money
- Easier to reinstate after utilities work
- Would not significantly detract from the appearance of Conservation Area

Breakdown by area

Brighton Place Resurfacing: Breakdown

Strongly Support Neutral Against
Support Strongly Against



Full anonymised results:

<http://goo.gl/DiYwsm>

Validation

- Duplicate responses removed
 - Mainly these were due to form being reloaded on submit
 - Some were updated responses - comments merged and single response kept
- Paper responses filtered before inclusion:

Paper responses

Collected	Address	Position	Notes	PCC area	Included in results?
Lunchtime 20 Feb	Household 1	SS, SA, SA	Considered comments	Yes	Yes
		SS, SA, SA	Considered comments		Yes
	Household 2	N,SS, S	No comment; mistakenly ranked in preference: asphalt, asphalt reconstruction, setts	Yes	Yes
5pm 20 Feb. All forms had been deposited in box together as a folded bundle	Households 3 & 4	SS, SA, SA	No comments, all same handwriting	Yes	Yes, but as a single response
		SS, SA, SA			
		SS, SA, SA			
		SS, A, SA			
	Household 5	SS, SA, SA	Short comment	No	Yes
	Household 6	SS, SA, SA	Short comment	Yes	Yes, but as a single response
		SS, SA, SA	No comment		
	Household 7	SS, SA, SA	1 with comment. All same handwriting	Yes	Yes, but as a single response
		SS, SA, SA			
		SS, SA, SA			
	Household 8	SS, SA, SA	1 with comment, 2 with single sentence comment, 2 no comments. All same handwriting	Yes	Yes, but as a single response
		SS, SA, SA			
SS, SA, SA					
SS, SA, SA					

Position: in order setts, asphalt, asphalt overlay; SS – strongly support, SA – strongly against.